

16 October 2002

Chemical Physics Letters 364 (2002) 628–633

www.elsevier.com/locate/cplett

Theoretical study of decomposition pathways for HArF and HKrF

Galina M. Chaban ^{a,*}, Jan Lundell ^b, R. Benny Gerber ^{c,d}

^a NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop T27B-1, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000, USA

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 55, (A.I.Virtasen aukio 1), FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland ^c Department of Physical Chemistry, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

^d Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2025, USA

Received 23 July 2002; in final form 28 August 2002

Abstract

To provide theoretical insights into the stability and dynamics of the new rare gas compounds HArF and HKrF, reaction paths for decomposition processes $H R g F \rightarrow R g + H F$ and $H R g F \rightarrow H + R g + F (R g = Ar, Kr)$ are calculated using ab initio electronic structure methods. The bending channels, $HRgF \rightarrow Rg + HF$, are described by single-configurational MP2 and CCSD(T) electronic structure methods, while the linear decomposition paths, $HRgF \rightarrow H + Rg + F$, require the use of multi-configurational wave functions that include dynamic correlation and are size extensive. HArF and HKrF molecules are found to be energetically stable with respect to atomic dissociation products $(H + Rg + F)$ and separated by substantial energy barriers from $Rg + HF$ products, which ensure their kinetic stability. The results are compatible with experimental data on these systems. 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Very recently, a first stable chemically bound compound of argon, HArF, was prepared experimentally in a low-temperature Ar matrix [1,2]. The corresponding krypton compound, HKrF, is also new and its preparation has just been reported [3]. Several theoretical studies have been performed that addressed the electronic structure and the nature of bonding in these molecules [4–7], as well

Corresponding author. Fax: $+1-650-604-1095$.

as their vibrational spectroscopy both in gas phase [5,7] and in rare-gas matrix environments [8]. Transition states for $HRgF \rightarrow HF + Rg$ (Rg = Ar, Kr) reactions have been obtained previously [4]. In addition, stability of HArF with respect to the two dissociation channels (HArF \rightarrow H + Ar + F and $HArF \rightarrow HF + Ar$) has been considered by studying approximate minimum-energy reaction paths and energetics of dissociation products [6,7]. However, true minimum-energy paths for these processes have never been calculated. This study intends to fill this gap by performing intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations following the minimum energy paths (MEPs) that connect

E-mail address: [chaban@nas.nasa.gov](mail to: chaban@nas.nasa.gov) (G.M. Chaban).

^{0009-2614/02/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0009-2614(02)01411-2

HArF and HKrF compounds to their dissociation products. Knowledge of reaction paths is very important for understanding formation and decomposition dynamics of these novel rare-gas compounds. A similar study has been recently performed for HHeF compound [9] that showed the importance of calculating reaction paths using the IRC method. By theoretical predictions, the species HHeF is a (first) chemically bound compound of He in the electronic ground state [4,5,9] though this is not yet experimentally confirmed. HHeF was found to be a metastable species separated from $He + HF$ and $H + He + F$ dissociation products by low (8–10 kcal/mol) barriers at the level of second-order perturbation theory. Tunneling rate to decomposition of HHeF through these barriers has been estimated, and a relatively long lifetime (on the order of 120 ps) to $He + HF$ decomposition has been predicted [9]. A study by Takayanagi and Wada [10] at the multi-reference CI level showed lower (about 5 kcal/mol) barrier height for $HHeF \rightarrow H + He + F$ reaction and shorter lifetime for HHeF compound (only 157 fs). This shows a great sensitivity of the predicted dynamics and behavior of the rare-gas compounds to the calculated potential energy surface. Although HArF and HKrF are more stable than HHeF, reliable estimation of the pathways between minima and dissociation products is crucial for understanding both dissociation and formation dynamics for these compounds. The calculated reaction paths will help future studies of the influence of temperature and IR excitation on decomposition dynamics and tunneling lifetimes of HArF and HKrF compounds.

2. Computational methods

Equilibrium structures of HArF and HKrF minima and of transition states (TS) leading to $HF + Ar(Kr)$ products were computed at the second-order Møller–Plesset (MP2) level of electronic structure theory [11] with augmented correlationconsistent valence triple- ζ (aug-cc-pVTZ) basis set [12–14]. The same level of theory was used to obtain the minimum energy paths (MEPs) leading from the equilibrium structures to the energetically more stable products $Ar(Kr) + HF$. These MEPs were calculated using the intrinsic reaction coordinate method (IRC) [15] with the second-order Gonzalez–Schlegel algorithm [16] and a step size of 0.1 amu^{1/2} bohr. Single point energies were estimated along these MEPs at the higher level coupled-cluster method CCSD(T) [17]. Stationary points optimizations and IRC calculations were carried out using the electronic structure package GAMESS [18], while CCSD(T) single-point energies were computed using GAUSSIAN 98 [19].

The IRC paths to $H + Rg + F$ were studied using CASSCF wave functions that included 16 active electrons and 9 active orbitals. This is the fullvalence active space and is denoted as MCSCF (16,9). The same, aug-cc-pVTZ, basis set was used as for the bending $(HF + Rg)$ channel. The potential energy points along the IRC were computed using several methods that include dynamic electron correlation effects: multi-reference secondorder perturbation theory CASPT2 [20], internally contracted multi-reference configuration interaction MRCI [21], and size-extensive modifications of MRCI: multi-reference averaged coupled-pair functional MR-ACPF [22] and multi-reference averaged quadratic coupled-cluster AQCC [23] methods. CASPT2, MRCI, MR-ACPF, and MR-AQCC calculations are all based on MCSCF(16,9) reference wave functions and performed using MOLPRO electronic structure package [24].

3. Results and discussion

The reaction paths for the $HArF \rightarrow Ar + HF$ and $HKrF \rightarrow Kr + HF$ dissociation processes are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The IRC paths were obtained at the single-configurational MP2/augcc-pVTZ level of theory. The validity of the singleconfigurational approach for this dissociation channel was tested in our previous study for a similar reaction path for HHeF [9]. It was shown that multi-configurational second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2) gave virtually the same potential values along the reaction path as singleconfigurational MP2. Therefore, we assume that single-configurational MP2 approach should be valid in the case of $H R g F \rightarrow R g + H F$ dissociation

Fig. 1. Minimum energy path for $HArF \rightarrow Ar + HF$ reaction.

Fig. 2. Minimum energy path for $HKrF \rightarrow Kr + HF$ reaction.

channels also for Ar and Kr. To test the MP2 reaction path further, we have calculated CCSD(T) potential energy points along the MP2 reaction paths. It can be seen from Figs. 1 and 2 that CCSD(T) relative energies along the IRC paths are in very good agreement with and practically indistinguishable from MP2 results. This also supports the validity of the MP2 approach for $HRgF \rightarrow Rg + HF$ dissociation channels. The transition states found in this study are 23.7 and 32.1 kcal/mol above the equilibrium structures of HArF and HKrF, respectively. These are slightly lower than predicted by Wong [4]: 28.0 and 34.6 kcal/mol. The configurations corresponding to the transition states are shown in Fig. 3. The IRC paths in the beginning correspond approximately to the motion along the bending coordinate of HArF (HKrF). At later stages, the rare gas atom assumes a closed shell electronic structure, and the H–F bond forms (see Fig. 1). The dissociation products $(Rg + HF)$ are lower in energy than HRgF by 134 and 112 kcal/mol in case of Ar and Kr, respectively. However, relatively high barriers to these dissociation products make both HArF and HKrF compounds kinetically stable. This is compatible with the experimental preparation of both HArF and HKrF [1–3].

The reaction paths for the 3-body decomposition channels $HArF \rightarrow H + Ar + F$ and $HKrF \rightarrow$ $H + Kr + F$ are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The dissociation motion in this case is collinear rather than bending. It can be seen from these figures that MCSCF method incorrectly predicts both HArF and HKrF to be energetically higher than atomic dissociation products. Obviously, more accurate methods that include dynamic correlation are necessary to obtain more reliable results for these dissociation channels. Although the situation is greatly improved at the MRCI level as compared to MCSCF, this level still does not provide quantitatively correct 3-body dissociation paths and predicts dissociation limits too low in energy with respect to HRgF minima. CASPT2 calculations predict both dissociation products and transition states at higher energies with respect to HRgF minima than MRCI. At the level of CASPT2, the dissociation products are predicted to be about 6.8 and 26.4 kcal/mol above the HArF and HKrF minima, respectively. This quite significant difference between MRCI and CASPT2 results has prompted us to test additional methods that combine advantages of both MRCI and CASPT2: size extensive multi-reference ACPF and AQCC methods. As can be seen from Figs. 4 and 5, these methods give energies, which are in between those

transition state

Fig. 3. Equilibrium and transition state geometries for HArF and HKrF obtained at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory (bond distances are given in (A) , angles in $(°)$).

Fig. 4. Minimum energy path for $HArF \rightarrow H + Ar + F$ reaction. Fig. 5. Minimum energy path for $H K r F \rightarrow H + K r + F$ reaction.

of MRCI and CASPT2. They predict both HArF and HKrF compounds below their dissociation products $H + Rg + F$, which is an encouraging

result. The binding energies for HArF are 0.2 and 1.8 kcal/mol from AQCC and ACPF, respectively. This is consistent with experimental results [1,2] that show that HArF is stable with respect to $H + Ar + F$, but the binding energy is not very large. The binding energies for HKrF are 19.8 and 21.2 kcal/mol at the AQCC and ACPF levels, respectively. These are somewhat lower, but not very different from the CASPT2 result. It should be noted that there is a sizable barrier for the formation of HArF from atomic $H + Ar + F$ fragments, about 10–11 kcal/mol. This barrier appears to be too high since the HArF can be formed upon annealing of solid argon matrix at about 18 K [1]. On the other hand, the large barrier from HArF towards its collinear dissociation channel seems reasonable in the light of annealing experiments of HArF in solid Ar [2], in which the IR spectrum of HArF in a thermally relaxed environment is visible until the sample evaporates above 40 K. In the case of HKrF the barrier of the collinear $H + Kr + F \rightarrow HKrF$ channel is much lower, about 5–6 kcal/mol. This correlates well with the experimental observation [3] of HKrF formation in one of the sites of Kr matrix at about 13 K, which suggests a reaction with a very low barrier. It can be concluded that potential energy surfaces for $HRgF \rightarrow H + Rg + F$ dissociation channel are not easy to describe correctly for such unusual rare-gas compounds as HArF and HKrF. MCSCF and internally contracted MRCI methods do not give qualitatively correct energetics for this reaction channel. On the other hand, CASPT2, MR-AQCC, and MR-ACPF calculations seem to reproduce the experimentally observed features of formation of these two molecules qualitatively correctly and could be considered a rather good starting point for understanding both dissociation and formation dynamics on molecular level in solid hosts. Work on tunneling decomposition and on formation dynamics of HArF, HKrF in the corresponding Ar and Kr matrices is currently under way [25].

4. Conclusions

Minimum-energy reaction paths are computed for decomposition processes $HRgF \rightarrow Rg + HF$ and $HRgF \rightarrow H + Rg + F (Rg = Ar, Kr)$ using ab initio electronic structure potentials and intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) method. Although some of these reactions have been studied previously, true minimum energy paths have not been obtained before. The calculated energetics for $HRgF \rightarrow Rg + HF$ reactions (barrier heights and exothermicities) are in good agreement with previous studies. While the $H R g F \rightarrow R g + H F$ channels are described reasonably well by singleconfigurational MP2 and CCSD(T) electronic structure methods, investigation of the linear decomposition paths $(HRgF \rightarrow H + Rg + F)$ is more challenging and requires use of multi-configurational wave functions, as well as dynamic correlation treatment. Furthermore, different methods that account for dynamic correlation (CASPT2 and MRCI) give quite different results for this reaction path. It appears that the results obtained using size extensive multi-reference ACPF and AQCC methods provide the most reliable predictions in this case. The shapes of the reaction paths for HArF and HKrF dissociation processes are obtained in this study for the first time and should be useful for future studies of the influence of temperature and IR excitation on decomposition dynamics and tunneling lifetimes of HArF and HKrF compounds.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Chris Dateo for useful comments upon reading the manuscript. Work at UC Irvine was supported by National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-0101199 to R.B.G.). Work at the Hebrew U. was partly supported by the DFG, Germany (under project SFB450). Financial support from the Academy of Finland and the Finnish Culture Foundation to J.L. is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] L. Khriachtchev, M. Pettersson, N. Runeberg, J. Lundell, M. Räsänen, Nature 406 (2000) 874.
- [2] L. Khriachtchev, M. Pettersson, A. Lignell, M. Räsänen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 8610.
- [3] M. Pettersson, L. Khriachtchev, A. Lignell, M. Räsänen, Z. Bihary, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 116 (2002) 2508.
- [4] M.W. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 6289.
- [5] J. Lundell, G.M. Chaban, R.B. Gerber, Chem. Phys. Lett. 331 (2000) 308.
- [6] M. Pettersson, J. Lundell, M. Räsänen, J. Chem. Phys. 102 (1995) 6423.
- [7] N. Runeberg, M. Pettersson, L. Khriachtchev, J. Lundell, M. Räsänen, J. Chem. Phys. 114 (2001) 836.
- [8] Z. Bihary, G.M. Chaban, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 116 (2002) 5521.
- [9] G.M. Chaban, J. Lundell, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 115 (2001) 7341.
- [10] T. Takayanagi, A. Wada, Chem. Phys. Lett. 352 (2002) 91.
- [11] J.A. Pople, J.S. Binkley, R. Seeger, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 10 (1976) 1.
- [12] T.H. Dunning Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 90 (1989) 1007.
- [13] R.A. Kendall, T.H. Dunning Jr., R.J. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys. 96 (1992) 6769.
- [14] D.E. Woon, T.H. Dunning Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 1358.
- [15] K.K. Baldridge, M.S. Gordon, R. Steckler, D.G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. 93 (1989) 5107.
- [16] C. Gonzalez, H.B. Schlegel, J. Phys. Chem. 94 (1990) 5523.
- [17] K. Raghavachari, G.W. Trucks, J.A. Pople, M. Head-Gordon, Chem. Phys. Lett. 157 (1989) 479.
- [18] M.W. Schmidt, K.K. Baldridge, J.A. Boatz, S.T. Elbert, M.S. Gordon, J.H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K.A. Nguyen, S. Su, T.L. Windus, M. Dupuis, J.A. Montgomery, J. Comput. Chem. 14 (1993) 1347.
- [19] M.J. Frisch et al., GAUSSIAN 98, Revision A.1, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2001.
- [20] H.-J. Werner, Mol. Phys. 89 (1996) 645.
- [21] H.-J. Werner, P.J. Knowles, J. Chem. Phys. 89 (1988) 5803.
- [22] R.J. Gdanitz, R. Ahlrichs, Chem. Phys. Lett. 143 (1988) 413.
- [23] P.G. Szalay, R.J. Bartlett, Chem. Phys. Lett. 214 (1993) 481.
- [24] R.D. Amos, et al., MOLPRO, a package of ab initio programs designed by H.-J. Werner, P.J. Knowles, version 2002.1.
- [25] Z. Bihary, G.M. Chaban, R.B. Gerber (to be published).